

United States*Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,196	01/17/2002	R. Eric Montgomery	04163-00138	6812	
22910 7	590 03/18/2003				
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			EXAMINER		
28 STATE STREET 28th FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02109			ROSE, S	НЕР К	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 1614	8/	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10050196	146N7	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1 0.5	Group Art Unit
	51420	1407.R	1614
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet l	beneath the c	orrespondence address
eriod for Reply	,		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	a reply within the statutory mininal aut, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30 om the mailing da) days will be considered timely. te of this communication .
itatus			
■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	6 10 2003		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			o the merits is closed in
Disp sition of Claims			
Ø Claim(s)		is/are	pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)			
• •		is/are	allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed. rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are are su	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are are su	allowed. rejected. objected to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are are su	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner.	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner.	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. or. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents in the priority docum	is/are is/are is/are is/are are si requir disapprove	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioriter. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved pjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) a of the priority documents in the priority docume	is/are is/are is/are is/are are si requir disapprove	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election ement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. br. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents to the priori	is/are is/are is/are is/are are st requir disapprove)-(d). have been	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. br. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents to the priori	is/are is/are is/are is/are are st requir disapprove)-(d). have been	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents to the priority documents	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a))	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The country under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents in the priority documents	is/are is/are is/are is/are are si requir disapprove)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a))	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement. ed.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,196

Art Unit: 1614

7

The reply filed on Feb 10, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the amendment is objected to, for naming applicant, who signed the oath (in 10/039,935) as Robert Eric Montgomery (full name of inventor) Robert E. Montgomery, but signed as "R. Eric Montgomery", and since the USPTO Examiner has been tasked to conduct, and to update, an investigation into the issue of obviousness-type double patenting of a named applicants; material, relevant and important copending claims and patents, and the only tool the USPTO Examiner has is an Inventor name search, for last name and first name. There are many entries for Montgomery, Robert in the Inventor name search, and many entries for Montgomery, Eric, and this applicant has entries in both (depending on how the oath was signed) that seem pertinent, (some are in as "Montgomery, Eric R.", others in"Montgomery, Robert E.") and (there is another Montgomery, R.E. active in this area) some titles seem to be pertinent, and seem to be this applicant's. Which copending case claims and patents are material, relevant and important to the issue of double Patenting and patentability of examined claims 13 to 48? Which copending and / or ancestor applications enable a rinse as in of record claims 15, 20, 26, 31, 34, 37, 40, and especially claims 43 to 47, sequentially followed by brushing with a peroxide dentifrice or paste or gel?, and newly presented claims 51, 56, 59, 62 and 63? (Copies of such claims of applicants are requested). Is any of this explicitly described in the written description/enablement of provisional application serial no. 60/004,258 filed September 25, 1995(as implied in the remarks)? A copy is requested herewith!!

Application/Control Number: 10/050,196

Art Unit: 1614

At what point in time did the public have a right to inspect and make copies of priority document?

The first U.S. patent 5922307 which issued July 13, 1999, which has no claim ever presented 6312670 to any rinse, dentifrice, gel, or paste, and no claim 6322773 ever presented to any sequential method of first applying to teeth, for whitening, an alkaline PH 8.0 to 10.5, aqueous rinse, thereafter immediately followed by brushing with a peroxide dentifrice, without water rinsing the teeth between the rinse regime and the dentifrice regime, as claimed by Curtis et al U.S. 6174516, claims 1 to 6 which issued January 16, 2001? Applicant presented such patented claims as claims 43 to 48 herein January 16, 2002 with the remarks that "the above claims 43 to 48 are "hereby copied within 1 year of issuance", to "preserve rights under 35 USC 135." Such "rights might arise if there is herein, and in each and every ancestor applications and the priority document (hereby requested) a written description enablement of claims 43 to 48 and continuous pending claims to the same subject matter.

There may be an issue of 35 USC 102 (C) invention if the <u>hereby requested</u> priority document (repeatedly argued in remarks) does (surprisingly) has a written description enablement of claims 43 to 48, one <u>left out</u> of the chain of ancestor applications, (now patents).

The administrative law judges of the USPTO make the determination (not the primary Examiner) as to whether or not claims 43 to 48 have <u>basis herein</u> to provoke an interference with U.S. 6174516.

The amendments to the specification are objected to as new matter.

Art Unit: 1614

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shep Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-4609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 7:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

by Imper Examiner SHEP K. ROSE

Then Ru

Page 4

Rose/tgd March 17, 2003

SHEP K. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER